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## Platinum (For Now) Age of Estate Planning

Not an exhaustive list of all estate planning techniques or opportunities


Non-
Reciprocal Trusts f/b/o
Each Spouse Substitution and Borrowing Powers

Low Tax Rates and Interest Rates



Valuation Discounts on FamilyControlled Entities


Short Term
and Zeroed-Out GRATs元

Perpetual Dynasty Trusts


Economic
Benefit Split
Dollar with
Survivorship
Life Insurance

Disregarded Transactions for Income Tax Purposes with Grantor Trusts


Domestic Asset Protection Trusts
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## 2020 Updates

All information herein is for discussion purposes only and should not be used or construed as tax or legal advice. Taxpayers should always seek guidance from a professional tax or legal advisor as it pertains to their individual situations.

## Transfer Tax Exclusions for 2020¹

Annual Exclusion Amount for Gifts

Annual Exclusion Amount for Gifts to Non-Citizen Spouse

Lifetime Basic Exclusion Amount (BEA) ${ }^{2}$
\$157,000
\$10,000,000 as Indexed for Inflation

BEA Sunset Date
After which it Reverts to $\$ 5,000,000$ as Indexed for Inflation

## It’s De Ja Vu (2012) All Over Again


${ }^{1}$ Increased BEA is available only if used during the increased BEA period (Treas. Reg. 20.2010-1); i.e. gift amounts must exceed $\$ 5.79 \mathrm{MM}$ in 2020 (bottom-up allocation). Projected exemption in years 2021+ assumes a $2 \%$ inflation adjustment.

## 恣LION STREET

## Convincing Ultra High Net Worth (UHNW) Individuals to Make Gifts May First Require Running A Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis

## Why? Even UHNW Clients May Fear Running Out of Money


of Ultra-Hight-Net-Worth (\$25MM+) Individuals of all generations say their biggest anxiety is running out of money or being unable to maintain their current lifestyle

[^0] Worth Clients, Part 1 (2016). Statistic cited on this page and referenced in this article is from a 2015 report by SEl and Scorpio Partnership.

## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example

## Current Assets

| Liquid Assets: | Liquid Assets (Taxable Interest) <br> Liquid Assets (Tax Exempt Interest) <br> Equity Assets <br> Tax Deferred Assets <br> Retirement Plan Assets | $\begin{array}{r} \text { \$ } 23,000,000 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 2,000,000 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Liquid Assets |  | 25,000,000 |
| Illiquid Assets: | Principal Residence Investment Real Estate Closely-held Stock | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000,000 \\ & 5,000,000 \\ & 9,000,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Total Illiquid Assets |  | 15,000,000 |
| Other Assets: | Total Other Assets Inside the Estate |  | 0 |
|  | Total Estate Assets Total Other Assets Outside the Estate |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 40,000,000 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |

## Funding Options for Required Cash Flow

Retirement Plan Assets: Minimum Distribution, Unless More Is Needed Cash Flow Funding: Sequential Use of Liquid Assets -Investable Assets, Retirement Plan Assets

## Assumptions Used

| Income Tax Rates: | Pre-Retirement | $30.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Retirement | $30.00 \%$ |
| Life Expectancy: | Joint | 40 Years |
|  | Mr. Patriarch | Age 89 |
|  | Mrs. Matriarch | Age 89 |
| Taxable Accounts: |  | Investable Assets |
| Retirement Plan Assets Mr. Patriarch: | $6.00 \%$ | Cash |
| Refined Contr. Yield Assumption |  |  |

Withdrawal Order
1st. Investable Assets 2nd. Retirement Plan Assets*


As you can see in the Cash Flow graph above, your liquid assets are sufficient to provide withdrawals to meet your required after tax cash flow in all years illustrated. The graph below shows your remaining total Net Worth.

Net Worth
(After Providing Required Cash Flow)


# Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Summary 

## Of their $\$ 40,000,000$ Net Worth:

## Hesty e ca \$10,873,848

(Present Value of Projected Cash Flow Discounted at the Assumed Net After-Tax Rate of Return of 4.2\%)

## Legacy Capital \$29,126,152

 (Portion of Current Net Worth in Excess of that which is needed for Lifestyle Capital)
## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Summary

$\checkmark$ Clients have sufficient net worth to meet future lifestyle needs
$\checkmark$ Significant portion of their existing net worth consists of legacy capital
$\checkmark$ How can we position the legacy capital for more efficient wealth transfer while preserving flexibility to access it for lifestyle capital, if necessary?
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## Flexible Trust-Funding Techniques with Indirect Future Access:

## "His and Her" Spousal Lifetime Access Trusts (SLATs)

## Insured "His and Her" SLATs

## Grantor Insured W



Beneficiary WW


Distribution


SLAT f/b/o W \& Kids
Policy on H


## Insured "His and Her" SLATs

## Grantor Insured W



## Beneficiary W



SLAT f/b/o H \& Kids Policy on W


SLAT f/b/o W \& Kids


Beneficiary H


Life Insurance Policy on Grantor Insured H

## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Continued

## Scenario 1 - Status Quo

- No new planning or gifts
- 6\% pre-tax return on investment assets
- Spendable cash flow goal achieved with current estate assets


## Scenario 2 - Gifts to SLATs

- \$10MM gift by each spouse to a SLAT $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{o}$ the other (\$20MM total gifts)
- 6\% pre-tax return on investment assets
- Spendable cash flow goal achieved with remaining estate assets (no need to access SLATs)



## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Continued

## Scenario 2 continued...

- Each SLAT purchases a \$10MM whole life policy on each grantor spouse to replace wealth originally gifted by the surviving spouse beneficiary and provide accumulation potential ${ }^{1}$
- Family Net Worth, which includes the value of assets outside the taxable estate (i.e. SLAT assets), can be preserved if a cash value life insurance policy is used



## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Continued

## Scenario 2 continued...

- Wealth transfer can be substantially increased due to the gifts removing appreciation from the taxable estate and the life insurance providing death benefit leverage



## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Continued

## Scenario 3 - Gifts to SLATs and Assets Underperform

- \$10MM gift by each spouse to a SLAT f/b/o the other (\$20MM total gifts)
- $4 \%$ pre-tax return on all investment assets
- Spendable cash flow shortfall beginning in year 22 with remaining estate assets due to investment underperformance
- \$5,947,743 total shortfall thru age 99/99

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { M/F } \\ \text { Ages } \end{gathered}$ | Spendable Cash Flow |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 1 <br> Status Quo <br> (6\% Return) | Scenario 2 <br> \$10MM x 2 <br> Gifts to <br> SLATs (6\% <br> Return) | Scenario 3 <br> \$10MM x 2 Gifts to SLATs (4\% Return) |
| 21 | 70/70 | 520,082 | 520,082 | 520,082 |
| 22 | 71/71 | 530,483 | 530,483 | 518,984 |
| 23 | 72172 | 541,093 | 541,093 | 429,977 |
| 24 | 73/73 | 551,915 | 551,915 | 434,880 |
| 25 | 74/74 | 562,953 | 562,953 | 439,844 |
| 26 | 75/75 | 574,212 | 574,212 | 444,871 |
| 27 | 76/76 | 585,696 | 585,696 | 449,960 |
| 28 | 77177 | 597,410 | 597,410 | 455,114 |
| 29 | 78/78 | 609,358 | 609,358 | 460,331 |
| 30 | 79/79 | 621,546 | 621,546 | 465,614 |
| 31 | 80/80 | 633,977 | 633,977 | 470,964 |
| 32 | 81/81 | 646,656 | 646,656 | 476,381 |
| 33 | 82/82 | 659,589 | 659,589 | 481,865 |
| 34 | 83/83 | 672,781 | 672,781 | 487,421 |
| 35 | 84/84 | 686,237 | 686,237 | 493,045 |
| 36 | 85/85 | 699,961 | 699,961 | 498,742 |
| 37 | 86/86 | 713,961 | 713,961 | 504,510 |
| 38 | 87/87 | 728,240 | 728,240 | 510,352 |
| 39 | 88/88 | 742,805 | 742,805 | 516,268 |
| 40 | 89/89 | 757,661 | 757,661 | 522,259 |

## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Continued

## Scenario 4 - Take Income from a SLAT to Make Up Shortfall

- \$10MM gift by each spouse to a SLAT f/b/o the other (\$20MM total gifts, or 50\% of current net worth)
- 4\% pre-tax return on all investment assets
- Spendable cash flow goal achieved by taking distributions from a SLAT to make up the shortfall in income produced by remaining estate assets
- \$5,947,743 total distributions from a SLAT

| Year | M/F <br> Ages | Spendable Cash Flow |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scenario 2 <br> \$10MM x 2 <br> Gifts to <br> SLATs (6\% <br> Return) | Scenario 3 <br> \$10MM x 2 <br> Gifts to SLATs (4\% Return) | Scenario 4 <br> Income from SLAT (4\% Return) |
| 21 | 70/70 | 520,082 | 520,082 | 520,082 |
| 22 | 71/71 | 530,483 | 518,984 | 530,483 |
| 23 | 72/72 | 541,093 | 429,977 | 541,093 |
| 24 | 73/73 | 551,915 | 434,880 | 551,915 |
| 25 | 74/74 | 562,953 | 439,844 | 562,953 |
| 26 | 75/75 | 574,212 | 444,871 | 574,212 |
| 27 | 76/76 | 585,696 | 449,960 | 585,696 |
| 28 | 77/77 | 597,410 | 455,114 | 597,410 |
| 29 | 78/78 | 609,358 | 460,331 | 609,358 |
| 30 | 79/79 | 621,546 | 465,614 | 621,546 |
| 31 | 80/80 | 633,977 | 470,964 | 633,977 |
| 32 | 81/81 | 646,656 | 476,381 | 646,656 |
| 33 | 82/82 | 659,589 | 481,865 | 659,589 |
| 34 | 83/83 | 672,781 | 487,421 | 672,781 |
| 35 | 84/84 | 686,237 | 493,045 | 686,237 |
| 36 | 85/85 | 699,961 | 498,742 | 699,961 |
| 37 | 86/86 | 713,961 | 504,510 | 713,961 |
| 38 | 87/87 | 728,240 | 510,352 | 728,240 |
| 39 | 88/88 | 742,805 | 516,268 | 742,805 |
| 40 | 89/89 | 757,661 | 522,259 | 757,661 |

# To Sum it Up, Gifts to Insured "His and Her" SLATs can Accomplish the Following: 

$\checkmark$ Reduce future estate taxes;
$\checkmark$ Substantially increase wealth transfer; and
$\checkmark$ Preserve accessible family net worth for a "rainy day"

## However, It May Not Be Ideal Under All Circumstances...

- Not all estate planning attorneys will be comfortable with a "His and Her" SLAT arrangement, or in some situations it may not be possible, due to -
concerns over reciprocal trust doctrine issues, complications in second-marriage situations or in the event of divorce, the client is currently single, etc.
- Perhaps additional flexibility may be desired...
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## Flexible Trust-Funding Techniques with Indirect Future Access:

## "Reversible" SLAT via Grantor Retained Line of Credit

## "Reversible" SLAT via Grantor Retained Line of Credit

## Grantor Insured H




Withdrawal, Loan or Accelerated Death Benefit Proceeds

Beneficiary W

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Life } \\
& \text { Insurance } \\
& \text { Policy on } \\
& \text { Grantor } \\
& \text { Insured H }
\end{aligned}
$$


${ }^{1}$ Similar to the right to substitute property of equal value approved (no IRC $\S \S 2036$ or 2038 estate inclusion) in Estate of Anders Jordahl v. Commissioner, 65 T.C. 92 (1975) and Rev. Rul. $2008-22$ Typically set up as demand notes; should bear interest at a fair market rate at least equal to the rate charged on policy loans taken by the trustee, should be secured by other property owned by the grantor and payments should be enforced (interest is typically accrued). Accrued interest is taxable as OID to the lender annually under IRC §§ 1272-1274; debt between a grantor and trust for which the grantor is deemed the owner for income tax purposes under IRC $\S \S 671-678$ is disregarded under Rev. Rul. 85-13 for income tax purposes (not taxable). In year of death, grantor trust status ends and the OID rules operate to cause only interest accruing that year, and after, to be taxable to the trust. Prior-year accrued interest was disregarded and never considered income earned. If the estate satisfies debt by transferring assets in kind, it recognizes gain to the extent the discharged debt exceeds its basis in the asset (Rev. Rul. 74-178), which is the value on the date of death or alternate valuation date, if elected (IRC § 1014). Trustee should have the power to prohibit any loan that could cause the life policy to lapse. A conservative version vests the power to approve of a loan to the grantor in the grantor's spouse; an even more conservative version vests this power in an independent trustee. Estate tax savings are only realized if grantor spends the loan proceeds (not reinvest inside the estate).

## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Continued

## Scenario 5 - Borrow from a SLAT to Make Up Shortfall

- \$10MM gift by each spouse to SLATs
- $4 \%$ pre-tax return on investment assets
- Spendable cash flow goal achieved by a grantor borrowing from a SLAT for which he or she is the settlor to make up the shortfall (same shortfall as before)
- \$5,947,743 total loan advances from SLAT thru age 99/99 to shortfall
- \$9,982,086 outstanding loan due SLAT at a 4\% accrual rate thru age 99/99 can reduce the taxable estate



## Lifestyle vs. Legacy Capital Analysis Example Continued

## Scenario 5 - Borrow from a SLAT to Make Up Shortfall

- \$9,982,086 outstanding loan due SLAT at a 4\% loan accrual rate thru age 99/99 can reduce the taxable estate and be repaid to the trust with other estate assets at death
- \$3,992,834 estate tax savings and increase in wealth transfer thru age 99/99



## A "Reversible" SLAT can Enhance a Traditional SLAT

## Gifting Strategy By:

$\checkmark$ Preserving accessible family net worth and a source of capital for a "rainy day;" and
$\checkmark$ Increasing wealth transfer further by borrowing from a SLAT as the grantor as opposed to taking distributions as a beneficiary

## However, It May Not Be Ideal Under All Circumstances...

- Need for sufficient other estate assets to secure and repay the loans at death;
- Some estate planning attorneys may prefer that the discretion to approve any loans be vested in the grantor's spouse or an independent trustee, which can cause complications in the event of divorce, etc.; or
- The grantor may not be ready or able to make completed gifts, or may want more of a direct future income interest...
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## Flexible Trust-Funding Techniques with Indirect Future Access:

## Loan Regime Split Dollar as a Grantor Retained Income Tax-Free Income Technique (GRITFIT)

## Loan Regime Split Dollar as a GRITFIT

## Grantor Insured H



Income Tax-Free
Loan Payments ${ }^{2}$

Loan Receivable is Includible in Estate for Estate Tax Purposes

Beneficiary W



Proceeds


Life
Insurance
Policy on Grantor Insured H


1If term loans, interest rate for each premium advance should be at least equal to the Applicable Federal Rate (AFR) for the month the loan is made, which can be fixed for the loan's term. Gift term loans, which are loans where any forgone interest is in the nature of a gift, generally should be avoided because any imputed transfer of below market interest for gift tax purposes is equal to the present value of all forgone interest over the loan term, imputed at inception (see IRC $\S 7872$ and Treas. Reg. 1.7872-15). If demand loans, at below market interest or otherwise, the interest rate for the outstanding loan will change annually. Any collateral assignment should be restricted to prevent the grantor-insured from possessing any direct or indirect incidents of ownership over the policy under IRC $\S 2042$. ${ }^{2}$ Assumes the Grantor is treated as the owner of the trust for income tax purposes under the grantor trust rules. Only interest amounts accruing in the year of death when grantor trust status ends should be taxable under the OID rules. Interest accruing in prior years is disregarded under the grantor trust rules and should not be considered IRD. See footnote on page 22 for additional related information.

| GRIFF <br> Example |  |  | Net Outlay |  |  |  |  |  | Wealth Transfer |  |  | Return on Investment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | ${ }^{(6)}$ | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
|  | Year | Age | Annual <br> Premium <br> Loans to <br> Trust | Beginning <br> Total Loan <br> Receivable | Annual Loan Interest Accrued to the Loan $4 \times$ Assumed AFR | Indexed <br> Transfer Tax <br> Exemption <br> Remaining <br> See Supplement | Annual Gift Taxes Paid See Supplement | Annual Premium Loans Plus Gift Taxes 3+7 | Total <br> Death <br> Benefit <br> in Trust | Ending Loan Receivable Includible in Estate 4+5 | Death Benefit Net of Estate and Income Tax on Loan Receivable See Supplement | Base Policy ROI at Death on 3 \& 9 | Base Policy Income TaxEquivalent ROI at Death 12*(1-29.75\%) |  <br> Estate Tax- <br> Equivalent <br> ROI at Death <br> on 8 \& 11 |
|  | 1 | 51 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 15,000 | 23,160,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 11,890,523 | 515,000 | 11,831,736 | 2,278.10\% | 3,242.85\% | 4,044.84\% |
|  | 2 | 52 | 500,000 | 1,015,000 | 30,450 | 23,620,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 11,898,988 | 1,045,450 | 11,774,590 | 340.39\% | 484.54\% | 559.10\% |
|  | 3 | 53 | 500,000 | 1,545,450 | 46,364 | 24,100,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 11,912,049 | 1,591,814 | 11,715,455 | 147.76\% | 210.33\% | 238.56\% |
|  | 4 | 54 | 500,000 | 2,091,814 | 62,754 | 24,580,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 11,927,314 | 2,154,568 | 11,651,642 | 86.32\% | 122.88\% | 138.74\% |
|  | 5 | 55 | 500,000 | 2,654,568 | 79,637 | 25,070,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 11,941,076 | 2,734,205 | 11,579,575 | 57.63\% | 82.03\% | 92.59\% |
|  | 6 | 56 | 500,000 | 3,234,205 | 97,026 | 25,570,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 12,210,981 | 3,331,231 | 11,756,882 | 42.11\% | 59.94\% | 67.76\% |
|  | 7 | 57 | 500,000 | 3,831,231 | 114,937 | 13,040,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 13,757,325 | 3,946,168 | 12,667,891 | 34.86\% | 49.62\% | 60.06\% |
|  | 8 | 58 | 0 | 3,946,168 | 118,385 | 13,300,000 | 0 | 0 | 11,736,341 | 4,064,553 | 10,613,635 | 24.93\% | 35.48\% | 43.07\% |
|  | 9 | 59 | 0 | 4,064,553 | 121,937 | 13,570,000 | 0 | 0 | 11,987,380 | 4,186,490 | 10,830,584 | 21.28\% | 30.30\% | 36.66\% |
|  | 10 | 60 | 0 | 4,186,490 | 125,595 | 13,840,000 | 0 | 0 | 12,245,288 | 4,312,084 | 11,053,130 | 18.61\% | 26.49\% | 31.95\% |
|  | 11 | 61 | 0 | 4,312,084 | 129,363 | 14,120,000 | 0 | 0 | 12,508,722 | 4,441,447 | 11,280,314 | 16.58\% | 23.60\% | 28.36\% |
|  | 12 | 62 | 0 | 4,441,447 | 133,243 | 14,400,000 | 0 | 0 | 12,777,597 | 4,574,690 | 11,511,589 | 14.98\% | 21.32\% | 25.54\% |
|  | 13 | 63 | 0 | 4,574,690 | 137,241 | 14,690,000 | 0 | 0 | 13,052,333 | 4,711,931 | 11,747,762 | 13.69\% | 19.49\% | 23.26\% |
|  | 14 | 64 | 0 | 4,711,931 | 141,358 | 14,980,000 | 0 | 0 | 13,334,212 | 4,853,289 | 11,989,643 | 12.64\% | 17.99\% | 21.40\% |
|  | 15 | 65 | 0 | 4,853,289 | 145,599 | 15,280,000 | 0 | 0 | 13,625,131 | 4,998,888 | 12,239,521 | 11.76\% | 16.74\% | 19.85\% |
|  | 16 | 66 | 0 | 4,998,888 | 149,967 | 15,590,000 | 0 | 0 | 13,924,959 | 5,148,854 | 12,497,228 | 11.02\% | 15.69\% | 18.54\% |
|  | 17 | 67 | 0 | 5,148,854 | 154,466 | 15,900,000 | 0 | 0 | 14,232,799 | 5,303,320 | 12,761,379 | 10.39\% | 14.78\% | 17.41\% |
|  | 18 | 68 | -346,084 | 4,957,236 | 148,717 | 16,210,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 13,791,741 | 5,105,953 | 12,379,083 | 9.65\% | 13.73\% | 16.11\% |
|  | 19 | 69 | -346,084 | 4,759,869 | 142,796 | 16,540,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 13,365,357 | 4,902,665 | 12,013,342 | 9.02\% | 12.85\% | 15.01\% |
|  | 20 | 70 | -346,084 | 4,556,581 | 136,697 | 16,870,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 12,952,329 | 4,693,279 | 11,662,012 | 8.49\% | 12.09\% | 14.06\% |
| Hypothetical | 21 | 71 | -346,084 | 4,347,195 | 130,416 | 17,210,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 12,551,323 | 4,477,611 | 11,324,193 | 8.04\% | 11.44\% | 13.25\% |
| and for | 22 | 72 | -346,084 | 4,131,527 | 123,946 | 17,550,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 12,161,976 | 4,255,473 | 10,999,194 | 7.64\% | 10.88\% | 12.54\% |
| lustrative | 23 | 73 | -346,084 | 3,909,389 | 117,282 | 17,900,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 11,785,147 | 4,026,670 | 10,688,267 | 7.30\% | 10.39\% | 11.93\% |
|  | 24 | 74 | -346,084 | 3,680,587 | 110,418 | 18,260,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 11,421,204 | 3,791,004 | 10,391,791 | 7.00\% | 9.96\% | 11.39\% |
| purposes only | 25 | 75 | -346,084 | 3,444,920 | 103,348 | 18,630,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 11,069,696 | 3,548,268 | 10,109,331 | 6.74\% | 9.59\% | 10.93\% |

Click here to download the detailed analysis from which the screenshot above was taken.

| GRIFFIT <br> Example |  |  | Net Outlay |  |  |  |  |  | Wealth Transfer |  |  | Return on Investment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
|  | Year | Age | Annual <br> Premium <br> Loans to <br> Trust | Beginning <br> Total Loan <br> Receivable | Annual Loan Interest Accrued to the Loan 4 x Assumed AFR | Indexed <br> Transfer Tax <br> Exemption <br> Remaining <br> See Supplement | Annual Gift Taxes Paid See Supplement | Annual <br> Premium Loans Plus Gift Taxes 3+7 | Total <br> Death <br> Benefit <br> in Trust | Ending Loan Receivable Includible in Estate 4+5 | Death Benefit Net of Estate and Income Tax on Loan Receivable See Supplement | Base Policy ROI at Death on 3 \& 9 | Base Policy Income TaxEquivalent ROI at Death 12*(1-29.75\%) |  <br> Estate Tax- <br> Equivalent <br> ROI at Death <br> on 8 \& 11 |
|  | 26 | 76 | -346,084 | 3,202,184 | 96,066 | 19,000,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 10,730,170 | 3,298,250 | 9,840,205 | 6.51\% | 9.27\% | 10.51\% |
|  | 27 | 77 | -346,084 | 2,952,166 | 88,565 | 19,380,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 10,400,795 | 3,040,731 | 9,582,892 | 6.31\% | 8.99\% | 10.15\% |
|  | 28 | 78 | -346,084 | 2,694,647 | 80,839 | 19,770,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 10,254,429 | 2,775,486 | 9,510,271 | 6.19\% | 8.81\% | 9.92\% |
|  | 29 | 79 | -346,084 | 2,429,402 | 72,882 | 20,160,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 10,114,208 | 2,502,284 | 9,445,324 | 6.08\% | 8.65\% | 9.71\% |
|  | 30 | 80 | -346,084 | 2,156,200 | 64,686 | 20,560,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 9,956,262 | 2,220,887 | 9,364,429 | 5.97\% | 8.50\% | 9.52\% |
|  | 31 | 81 | -346,084 | 1,874,803 | 56,244 | 20,980,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 9,779,972 | 1,931,047 | 9,267,132 | 5.87\% | 8.36\% | 9.33\% |
|  | 32 | 82 | -346,084 | 1,584,963 | 47,549 | 21,400,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 9,584,821 | 1,632,512 | 9,152,654 | 5.78\% | 8.23\% | 9.16\% |
|  | 33 | 83 | -346,084 | 1,286,428 | 38,593 | 21,820,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 9,369,675 | 1,325,021 | 9,019,961 | 5.69\% | 8.10\% | 8.99\% |
|  | 34 | 84 | -346,084 | 978,937 | 29,368 | 22,260,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 9,133,319 | 1,008,305 | 8,868,076 | 5.60\% | 7.98\% | 8.83\% |
|  | 35 | 85 | -346,084 | 662,221 | 19,867 | 22,700,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 8,874,730 | 682,088 | 8,695,857 | 5.52\% | 7.86\% | 8.68\% |
|  | 36 | 86 | -346,084 | 336,004 | 10,080 | 23,160,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 8,596,485 | 346,084 | 8,506,035 | 5.45\% | 7.75\% | 8.54\% |
|  | 37 | 87 | -346,084 | 0 | 0 | 23,620,000 | 0 | -346,084 | 8,295,002 | 0 | 8,295,002 | 5.37\% | 7.64\% | 8.40\% |
|  | 38 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,090,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,335,184 | 0 | 8,335,184 | 5.30\% | 7.54\% | 8.27\% |
|  | 39 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,580,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,372,046 | 0 | 8,372,046 | 5.23\% | 7.44\% | 8.14\% |
|  | 40 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,070,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,405,189 | 0 | 8,405,189 | 5.16\% | 7.35\% | 8.02\% |
|  | 41 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,570,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,434,208 | 0 | 8,434,208 | 5.10\% | 7.25\% | 7.91\% |
|  | 42 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,080,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,458,860 | 0 | 8,458,860 | 5.03\% | 7.17\% | 7.80\% |
|  | 43 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,478,618 | 0 | 8,478,618 | 4.97\% | 7.08\% | 7.69\% |
|  | 44 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,130,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,493,012 | 0 | 8,493,012 | 4.91\% | 7.00\% | 7.59\% |
|  | 45 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,680,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,500,529 | 0 | 8,500,529 | 4.86\% | 6.91\% | 7.49\% |
| Hypothetical | 46 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,230,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,500,221 | 0 | 8,500,221 | 4.80\% | 6.84\% | 7.39\% |
| and for | 47 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,790,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,490,268 | 0 | 8,490,268 | 4.75\% | 6.76\% | 7.29\% |
| illustrative | 48 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,370,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,469,684 | 0 | 8,469,684 | 4.69\% | 6.68\% | 7.20\% |
|  | 49 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,960,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,437,898 | 0 | 8,437,898 | 4.64\% | 6.60\% | 7.11\% |
| purposes only | 50 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30,560,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,394,050 | 0 | 8,394,050 | 4.59\% | 6.53\% | 7.02\% |

Click here to download the detailed analysis from which the screenshot above was taken.


## Think of It Like This...

$\checkmark$ Way of making a gift-tax transfer to a trust with a retained income interest and limiting the estate inclusion amount to the loan receivable, not the entire trust property; or
$\checkmark$ "LIRP" strategy with limits on the income interest and most or all of the net death benefit shifted outside the taxable estate;
$\checkmark$ Ideal for those with "what's in if for me" or control concerns about traditional gifting strategies, or limited gifting capacity available for premium funding.

## Assumptions Used in the Previous Example



| \% of Return as Ordinary Income | 70.00\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| \% of Return as Realized Cap Gain | 5.00\% |
| \% of Return as Unrealized Cap Gain | 25.00\% |
| \% of Return as Tax-Free | 0.00\% |
| Ordinary Income Tax Rate | 40.80\% |
| Capital Gains Tax Rate | 23.80\% |
| Blended Income Tax Rate | 29.75\% |
| Estate/Gift Tax Rate | 40.00\% |
| Transfer Tax Exemption Per Person | 11,580,000 |
| Prior Exemption Gifts Made | 0 |
| Annual Gift Tax Exclusion | 15,000 |
| \# of Annual Exclusions | 4 |
| Inflation Rate | 2.00\% |
| Summary at | Life Expectancy |
| Summary Year | 37 |
| Years to llustrate | 50 |
| Pre-Income-Tax Hurdle Rate | 6.00\% |
| ROI Equivalent Calculation: | Basic + Income Tax + Estate Tax |
| Premiums are Loaned to an ILIT? | Yes |
| Other Estate Assets (and Growth Rate) | 30,000,000 (2.00\% Growth) |

## 倠LION STREET

## Flexible Trust-Funding Techniques with Indirect Future Access:

## Intrafamily Loan or Installment Sale as a Wait-and-See Gift Technique

## Client on the Fence about Making a Full \$11.58M Gift?

- Gift \$1.158MM (10\%) and loan/sell \$10.422MM (90\%) ${ }^{1}$
- Loan/sale portion can provide future flexibility to -

1) forgive note to make it a completed gift;
2) accept repayment to "take it back;" or
3) renew for a new term if desired

19-to-1 debt-to-equity ratio for economic substance, if necessary. Some commentators and practitioners question the validity or necessity of the " 9 -to-1" rule. If not necessary, for whatever reason, up to $100 \%$ of the value could be loaned or sold. The promissory note issued needs to be treated as valuable consideration so the arrangement is respected as a bona fide sale or loan and not, in substance, a disguised gift at inception. See Rev. Rul. 77-299 and Deal v. Commissioner, 29 T.C. 730 (1958). The note should bear interest at least equal to the AFR, interest payments should be made, security pledged and an intent to enforce payments should be apparent.

## Wait-and-See Gift Example Prior to 2026 1) Forgive Note to Make it a Completed Gift;

| (1) | (2) | Gifits Received and Premiums Paid |  |  | Growth and Income |  | Promissory Note (End of Year) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Year | Age at End of Year | Seed Gifts Plus Additional Gifts | Gifts to Reduce (Forgive) Note | Annual Life Insurance Premium | Growth at 3.00\% | Income <br> at 5.00\% <br> (Taxable <br> to Grantor) | Note Interest Due | Note Interest Paid | Note Repayment | Note Balance |
| 1 | 61/61 | 1,158,000 | 0 | -393,000 | 335,610 | 559,350 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 |
| 2 | 62/62 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 345,385 | 575,641 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 |
| 3 | 63/63 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 355,942 | 593,236 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 |
| 4 | 64/64 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 367,343 | 612,238 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 |
| 5 | 65/65 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 379,657 | 632,761 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 |
| 6 | 66/66 | 0 | 10,422,000 | -393,000 | 392,955 | 654,925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 67/67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 424,392 | 707,319 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 68/68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 458,343 | 763,905 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 69/69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 495,010 | 825,017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | $70 / 70$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 534,611 | 891,019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 71/71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 577,380 | 962,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | $72 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 623,570 | 1,039,284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 73/73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 673,456 | 1,122,427 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 74/74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 727,333 | 1,212,221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 75/75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 785,519 | 1,309,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Assets (End of Year) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (12) | (13) | (14) |
| Assets | Assets Less Promissory Note | Life Insurance Death Benefit |
| 11,905,828 | 1,483,828 | 10,000,000 |
| 12,257,723 | 1,835,723 | 10,000,000 |
| 12,637,769 | 2,215,769 | 10,000,000 |
| 13,048,218 | 2,626,218 | 10,000,000 |
| 13,491,504 | 3,069,504 | 10,000,000 |
| 14,146,384 | 14,146,384 | 10,000,000 |
| 15,278,095 | 15,278,095 | 10,000,000 |
| 16,500,343 | 16,500,343 | 10,000,000 |
| 17,820,370 | 17,820,370 | 10,000,000 |
| 19,246,000 | 19,246,000 | 10,000,000 |
| 20,785,680 | 20,785,680 | 10,000,000 |
| 22,448,534 | 22,448,534 | 10,000,000 |
| 24,244,417 | 24,244,417 | 10,000,000 |
| 26,183,970 | 26,183,970 | 10,000,000 |
| 28,278,688 | 28,278,688 | 10,000,000 |

The promissory note issued needs to be treated as valuable consideration so the arrangement is respected as a bona fide sale or loan and not, in substance, a disguised gift at inception. See Rev. Rul. 77-299 and Deal v. Commissioner, 29 T.C. 730 (1958). The note should bear interest at least equal to the AFR, interest payments should be made, security pledged and an intent to enforce payments should be apparent.

## Wait-and-See Gift Example Prior to 2026 2) Accept Repayment to "Take it Back;" or

| (1) | (2) | Gifts Received and Premiums Paid |  |  | Growth and Income |  | Promissory Note (End of Year) |  |  |  | Assets (End of Year) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| Year | Age at End of Year | Seed Gifts Plus Additional Gifts | Gifts to <br> Reduce <br> (Forgive) <br> Note | Annual Life Insurance Premium | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Growth } \\ \text { at } 3.00 \% \end{array}$ | Income <br> at 5.00\% <br> (Taxable <br> to Grantor) | Note Interest Due | Note Interest Paid | Note Repayment | Note Balance | Assets | Assets Less Promissory Note | Life Insurance Death Benefit |
| 1 | 61/61 | 1,158,000 | 0 | -393,000 | 335,610 | 559,350 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 11,905,828 | 1,483,828 | 10,000,000 |
| 2 | $62 / 62$ | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 345,385 | 575,641 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 12,257,723 | 1,835,723 | 10,000,000 |
| 3 | 63/63 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 355,942 | 593,236 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 12,637,769 | 2,215,769 | 10,000,000 |
| 4 | 64/64 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 367,343 | 612,238 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 13,048,218 | 2,626,218 | 10,000,000 |
| 5 | 65/65 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 379,657 | 632,761 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 13,491,504 | 3,069,504 | 10,000,000 |
| 6 | 66/66 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 392,955 | 654,925 | 176,132 | -176,132 | -10,422,000 | 0 | 3,548,253 | 3,548,253 | 10,000,000 |
| 7 | 67/67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106,448 | 177,413 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,832,113 | 3,832,113 | 10,000,000 |
| 8 | 68/68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114,963 | 191,606 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,138,682 | 4,138,682 | 10,000,000 |
| 9 | 69/69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124,160 | 206,934 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,469,776 | 4,469,776 | 10,000,000 |
| 10 | 70/70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134,093 | 223,489 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,827,358 | 4,827,358 | 10,000,000 |
| 11 | 71/71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144,821 | 241,368 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,213,547 | 5,213,547 | 10,000,000 |
| 12 | $72 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156,406 | 260,677 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,630,631 | 5,630,631 | 10,000,000 |
| 13 | 73/73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168,919 | 281,532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,081,081 | 6,081,081 | 10,000,000 |
| 14 | 74/74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182,432 | 304,054 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,567,568 | 6,567,568 | 10,000,000 |
| 15 | 75/75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197,027 | 328,378 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,092,973 | 7,092,973 | 10,000,000 |

The promissory note issued needs to be treated as valuable consideration so the arrangement is respected as a bona fide sale or loan and not, in substance, a disguised gift at inception. See Rev. Rul. 77-299 and Deal v. Commissioner, 29 T.C. 730 (1958). The note should bear interest at least equal to the AFR, interest payments should be made, security pledged and an intent to enforce payments should be apparent.

## Wait-and-See Gift Example Prior to 2026 3) Renew for a New Term if Desired.

|  |  | Gifts Received and Premiums Paid |  |  | Growth and Income |  | Promissory Note (End of Year) |  |  |  | Assets (End of Year) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) |
| Year | Age at End of Year | Seed Gifts Plus Additional Gifts | Gifts to Reduce (Forgive) Note | Annual Life Insurance Premium | Growth at 3.00\% | Income at 5.00\% (Taxable to Grantor) | Note Interest Due | Note Interest Paid | Note Repayment | Note Balance | Assets | Assets Less Promissory Note | Life Insurance Death Benefit |
| 1 | 61/61 | 1,158,000 | 0 | -393,000 | 335,610 | 559,350 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 11,905,828 | 1,483,828 | 10,000,000 |
| 2 | 62/62 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 345,385 | 575,641 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 12,257,723 | 1,835,723 | 10,000,000 |
| 3 | 63/63 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 355,942 | 593,236 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 12,637,769 | 2,215,769 | 10,000,000 |
| 4 | 64/64 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 367,343 | 612,238 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 13,048,218 | 2,626,218 | 10,000,000 |
| 5 | 65/65 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 379,657 | 632,761 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 13,491,504 | 3,069,504 | 10,000,000 |
| 6 | 66/66 | 0 | 0 | -393,000 | 392,955 | 654,925 | 176,132 | -176,132 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 13,970,253 | 3,548,253 | 10,000,000 |
| 7 | 67/67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 419,108 | 698,513 | 312,660 | -312,660 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 14,775,213 | 4,353,213 | 10,000,000 |
| 8 | 68/68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 443,256 | 738,761 | 312,660 | -312,660 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 15,644,570 | 5,222,570 | 10,000,000 |
| 9 | 69/69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 469,337 | 782,228 | 312,660 | -312,660 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 16,583,475 | 6,161,475 | 10,000,000 |
| 10 | 70/70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 497,504 | 829,174 | 312,660 | -312,660 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 17,597,493 | 7,175,493 | 10,000,000 |
| 11 | 71/71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 527,925 | 879,875 | 312,660 | -312,660 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 18,692,633 | 8,270,633 | 10,000,000 |
| 12 | $72 / 72$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 560,779 | 934,632 | 312,660 | -312,660 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 19,875,383 | 9,453,383 | 10,000,000 |
| 13 | 73/73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 596,262 | 993,769 | 312,660 | -312,660 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 21,152,754 | 10,730,754 | 10,000,000 |
| 14 | $74 / 74$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 634,583 | 1,057,638 | 312,660 | -312,660 | 0 | 10,422,000 | 22,532,315 | 12,110,315 | 10,000,000 |
| 15 | 75/75 | 0 | 4,632,000 | 0 | 675,969 | 1,126,616 | 173,700 | -173,700 | -5,790,000 | 0 | 18,371,200 | 18,371,200 | 10,000,000 |

The promissory note issued needs to be treated as valuable consideration so the arrangement is respected as a bona fide sale or loan and not, in substance, a disguised gift at inception. See Rev. Rul. 77-299 and Deal v. Commissioner, 29 T.C. 730 (1958). The note should bear interest at least equal to the AFR, interest payments should be made, security pledged and an intent to enforce payments should be apparent.


All projected values herein are hypothetical and for illustrative purposes only. Actual results will vary and may be better or worse than what is shown. Illustrated life insurance values may be based on non-guaranteed elements (e.g. policy charges and crediting rates) and are a supplemental illustration, not valid unless preceded or accompanied by a basic illustration from the life insurance carrier. Please refer to carrier basic illustration for important details regarding guaranteed and nonguaranteed elements and other important policy information.
Variable life insurance is sold by prospectus. Please consider the investment objectives, risks, charges, expenses, and your need for death-benefit coverage carefully before investing. The prospectus, which contains this and other information about the variable life policy and the underlying investment options, can be obtained from your financial professional. Be sure to read the prospectus carefully before deciding whether to invest.
The investment return and principal value of the variable life policy are not guaranteed. Variable life sub-accounts fluctuate with changes in market conditions. The principal may be worth more or less than the original amount invested when the policy is surrendered.

Securities offered through Lion Street Financial, LLC, Member FINRA \& SIPC. Lion Street Financial does not offer legal or tax advice. Please consult the appropriate professional regarding your individual circumstance.


[^0]:    Source: Investment Management Consultants Association, Inc. (IMCA). "The Ultra-High-Net-Worth Investor by the Generations" by Cam Marston. Focus on Ultra-High-Net-

